



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/798,305

03/12/2004

Takahiro Kume

742158-9

5795

25570 7590 12/18/2007  
ROBERTS, MLOTKOWSKI & HOBBS  
P. O. BOX 10064  
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

EXAMINER

CHANG, VICTOR S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1794

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/18/2007

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Dbeltran@rmhlaw.com  
LGaughan@rmhlaw.com

|                          |                                      |                                    |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/798,305 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>KUME ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Victor S. Chang   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1794            |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Victor S. Chang. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas Cole. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 December 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants point out the preferred embodiment in Fig. 6 as a support of claimed invention. Examiner indicates that with proper support including Figures, the claimed scope will be carefully reconsidered. Examiner suggests to change the term "elastic plastic foam" to "polymeric foam", so as to overcome the 112 issues..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Victor S Chang/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required